UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/586,427 | 04/05/2007 | Mikhail Andreevich Branfilev | VO-769 | 4879 | |
| .= | 7590 03/09/200 ERSEN & ERICKSON | | EXAMINER | | |
| 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD | | | NGUYEN, PHONG H | | |
| SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3724 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/09/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/586,427 | BRANFILEV, MIKI ANDREEVICH | HAIL | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | PHONG H. NGUYEN | 3724 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Mr. PHONG H. NGUYEN</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Mr. MARK D. SWANSON</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>04 March 2009</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>2 and 10</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Singh (6,691,596) and Nunweiler (5,139,064). | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed the examiner's interpretation of claim language and prior art</u> . | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF T | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V | been filed, APPL / DAYS FROM TH WHICHEVER IS L | ICANT IS HIS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /P. H. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 | March 4, 2009 | | | | | |